



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/761,122	01/16/2001	Shinichi Yamaura	2271/64016	2922	
7590 04/26/2005		EXAMINER			
Ivan S. Kavrukov			HUISMAN, DAVID J		
Cooper & Dunham LLP 1185 Avenue of the Americas			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
New York, NY 10036			2183		
			DATE MAILED: 04/26/200	DATE MAILED: 04/26/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/761,122	YAMAURA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
David J. Huisman	2183		

ال	David J. Huisman	2183					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 12 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on t this application, applicant must timely file one of the following places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant following time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the 	ing replies: (1) an amendment, a ce of Appeal (with appeal fee) in nce with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 C	ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adviso event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). O MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	ory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complication of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extensions a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but (a) They raise new issues that would require further cons (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	sideration and/or search (see NO);	TE below);					
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a common content of the present additional claims without canceling a common content of the present additional claims without canceling a common content of the present additional claims without canceling a common content of the present additional claims without canceling a common content of the present of the pre			the issues for				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allo the non-allowable claim(s).		-	-				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdraws from consideration:		rill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u>							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ove showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	hed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but of	does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (F. 13. Other:	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)					



Applicant has changed the scope of independent claim 1 via amendment. The amendments to claim 1 must be further considered and searched by the examiner.

EDDIE CHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100